

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 10/602,359	06/23/2003	Boris Tsybakov	030202	8891
23696 7590 05/18/2007 QUALCOMM INCORPORATED			EXAMINER	
5775 MOREHO	OUSE DR.		LEE, ANDREW CHUNG CHEUNG	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2616	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	05/18/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Assistant Communication	10/602,359	TSYBAKOV ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Andrew C. Lee	2616		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	ıne 2003.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	- I I			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and/or €	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak k$	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	` '		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , ,	ad.		
obo the attached actaned office action for a net	or the doraned doples not reserve			
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/3/2005.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___

6) Other: ___

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/602,359 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1 17, drawn to network configuration determination using spanning tree, classified in class 370, subclass 254.
- Claims 18 28, drawn to generalized orthogonal or spectral mathematical techniques, classified in class 370, subclass 203.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, Invention I has separate utility such as a method and apparatus for network configuration determination utilizing spanning tree, classified in a different class/subclass. Invention II has separate utility such as using generalized orthogonal or spectral mathematical techniques, classified in a different class/subclass. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2616

Applicant is advised that the replay to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even through the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the replay does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew C. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-3131. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30am - 5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,359

Art Unit: 2616

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on (571) 272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew C. Lee/::<5/10/2007>

WING CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER